Membrane Electroporation and Electropermeabilization: Mechanisms and Models
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Abstract

Exposure of biological cells to high-voltage, short-duration electric pulses causes a transient increase in their plasma membrane permeability, allowing transmembrane transport of otherwise impermeant molecules. In recent years, large steps were made in the understanding of underlying events. Formation of aqueous pores in the lipid bilayer is now a widely recognized mechanism, but evidence is growing that changes to individual membrane lipids and proteins also contribute, substantiating the need for terminological distinction between electroporation and electropermeabilization. We first revisit experimental evidence for electrically induced membrane permeability, its correlation with transmembrane voltage, and continuum models of electropermeabilization that disregard the molecular-level structure and events. We then present insights from molecular-level modeling, particularly atomistic simulations that enhance understanding of pore formation, and evidence of chemical modifications of membrane lipids and functional modulation of membrane proteins affecting membrane permeability. Finally, we discuss the remaining challenges to our full understanding of electroporation and electropermeabilization.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Exposure of biological cells and tissues to short electric pulses, with sufficient amplitude to increase the permeability of the membrane, is an increasingly relevant technique in biomedicine (215), biotechnology (94), food science and technology (65), and environmental science (116). In different fields of application, this technique is referred to as electropermeabilization, electroporation, electropulsation, or PEF (pulsed electric field) treatment, with nuanced differences in the prevailing definition of each, as outlined in the margin.

Similarly, the underlying phenomenon is itself termed either electroporation or electropermeabilization, often used as synonyms, while more rigorously, the former term is narrower and refers only to the contribution to the increased permeability of the membrane owing to the formation of aqueous pores in its lipid bilayer, while the latter is more general and ascribes this increase to a broader range of (bio)physical and (bio)chemical mechanisms. Although formation of transient hydrophilic pores in the lipid bilayer (i.e., electroporation in the narrow sense) is now a widely recognized mechanism of membrane permeabilization, governed by statistical thermodynamics and corroborated by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, there is increasing evidence that exposure to electric pulses also causes chemical changes to the lipids and modulation of membrane proteins' function that contribute to the membrane's increased permeability.
Electropulsation [also PEF (pulsed electric field) treatment]: exposure of cells to electric pulses, leading to their membranes' structural alteration and increased conductivity and/or permeability

Induced transmembrane voltage (induced TMV): increase in transmembrane voltage resulting from exposure to electric pulses and associated with an increase in transmembrane electric field

The aim of this review is to present and discuss the current theoretical understanding and experimental knowledge of the mechanisms contributing to the increase in membrane permeability of cells and tissues exposed to electric pulses, with the underlying molecular-level events outlined schematically in Figure 1.

2. ELECTROPERMEABILIZATION AT THE CELL LEVEL

Nearly all cells maintain an electric potential difference between the inner and outer side of their plasma membrane, generated and regulated by a system of ion pumps and channels in the membrane, and termed the resting transmembrane voltage (TMV). In eukaryotic cells, the resting TMV typically ranges from −40 to −70 mV, in the sense that the inner potential is lower than the outer one. As this is the natural state of biological membranes, both their lipid and protein components are evolutionarily well adapted and function under voltages in this range.

2.1. Correlation Between Transmembrane Voltage and Electroporation-Mediated Transport

An exposure of a cell to an external electric field results in an additional component of TMV, termed the induced TMV, sustained for the duration of the exposure and proportional to the strength of the external electric field (46, 98, 153). Thus, exposures to sufficiently strong fields can induce TMVs far exceeding their resting range and causing both structural changes to the membrane and changes to its constituent molecules that do not occur under physiological conditions.

Figure 1

Conceptual scheme of molecular-level mechanisms of electropermeabilization, starting from an intact membrane (top). (a) Electroporation: electrically induced formation of aqueous pores in the lipid bilayer, shown here in two stages, with water molecules first penetrating the bilayer and thus forming an unstable hydrophobic pore (middle), and with adjacent lipids then reorienting with their polar headgroups toward these water molecules and thus forming a metastable hydrophilic pore (bottom). (b) Electrically induced chemical changes to membrane lipids, including peroxidation, which deforms their tails and increases the bilayer's permeability to water, ions, and small molecules. (c) Electrically induced modulation of membrane proteins' function, shown here for a voltage-gated channel. Arrow lengths for the electric field (E, red) correspond to its strength (i.e., amplitude of the electric pulse or pulses), while those for transitions between states of membrane permeability reflect the transition rate (shorter arrow = slower rate; not drawn to scale between the three mechanisms).
Among the clearest and most prominent such effects is membrane electroporpermeabilization—a rapid and substantial increase in membrane permeability, revealed by transmembrane transport of molecules for which an intact membrane is practically impermeable (89, 99, 136, 152, 215).

A number of studies, based on both experimental and theoretical considerations, implied that the molecular flow across the electroporpermeabilized membrane is largely limited to the regions of the membrane exposed to sufficiently high TMV (56, 57, 73, 74, 89, 193, 199). This was conclusively shown experimentally, for a single cell as well as clusters of cells, by monitoring both the TMV and the transmembrane transport on the same cells upon their exposure to electric pulses (99), as shown in Figure 2.

2.2. Kinetics of Electroporpermeabilization-Mediated Transport and Factors of Influence

The main consequence of membrane electroporpermeabilization is the inflow of membrane-impermeant molecules into the cell and the outflow of biomolecules from the cell. The kinetics of transmembrane transport mediated by electroporpermeabilization have thus been studied extensively, revealing that membrane electrical conductivity and permeability increase detectably within less than a microsecond after the onset of the electric pulse, provided that the TMV exceeds a certain “critical” value, with quotation marks used as it is not a universal constant but a variable dependent on a number of factors. Still, to start with the general observations, the experimentally determined kinetics of transmembrane transport can roughly be divided into five stages, as summarized in Table 1: the initiation of the permeable state, its expansion, stabilization with partial recovery, the resealing of the membrane, and finally gradual cessation of what are referred to as residual memory effects reflected in cells’ altered physiological processes and reactions to various stressors.

From a theoretical perspective, electroporpermeabilization of the membrane—be it the consequence of structural rearrangement of its lipids, or chemical modifications of its lipids or functional modulation of its proteins, or a combination thereof—is not strictly a threshold event, in the sense that these processes would occur only in an electric field exceeding a certain value; at most, the rates of these processes increase nonlinearly with the increase in the field amplitude to which the cells are exposed. Still, empirically, for each type of cells, type of molecules transported, exposure duration, and particular set of conditions such as temperature, there is a critical value of the field that must be exceeded for electroporpermeabilization-mediated transport to become detectable, and there is another, higher critical value of the field that must not be exceeded if membrane stabilization, recovery, and resealing are still to occur. As a consequence, experimentalists often treat electroporpermeabilization as a quasi-threshold phenomenon, yet the two critical values of the field between which permeabilization is detectable as well as reversible depend on so many factors that only their orders of magnitude can be stated generally. Thus, for eukaryotic cells, detection occurs for electric fields resulting in TMV in hundreds of millivolts and irreversible damage for electric fields \( \sim 3-5 \times \) higher than the minimum for detection (56, 99, 190, 199).

As mentioned above, the critical electric field and the corresponding TMV for detectable electroporpermeabilization depend on the cell type (24), transported molecule (151, 165), and exposure duration (73, 151, 165) and are also influenced by cell size and local membrane curvature (71, 82, 199), temperature (86, 146), and osmotic pressure (66), and artifically by the sensitivity of the detection technique (95, 137, 209).

Direct microscopic observations reveal that electroporpermeabilization-mediated transport is highly dependent on the size and charge of the molecules. Small molecules can thus enter the
The transmembrane voltage (TMV) (top) and electropermeabilization (bottom) of Chinese hamster ovary cells in a physiological medium. The TMV was monitored by di-8-ANEPPS, a potentiometric dye, and electropermeabilization was monitored by propidium iodide (PI), a dye highly impermeant to an intact membrane and fluorescing only inside the cell. (a) A nearly spherical cell suspended in the medium, electroporated by a single 1.5-ms, 650-V/cm pulse. (b) An irregularly shaped cell attached to a flat surface, electroporated by a single 200-μs, 1,000-V/cm pulse. (c) A pair of cells in close contact and attached to a flat surface, electroporated by a single 200-μs, 1,000-V/cm pulse. In the TMV images (i.e., di-8-ANEPPS fluorescence), the brightest and darkest regions of the membrane correspond to the highest positive and highest negative TMV, respectively. In the electropermeabilization images (i.e., PI fluorescence), the brightest and darkest regions correspond to the highest and lowest concentrations, respectively, of internalized PI. In panel c, the TMV along the contact between the two cells is obscured, as there, their membranes are oppositely charged (positive TMV in the cell at the left, negative TMV in the cell at the right), so the two fluorescence signals partly cancel each other, but the PI fluorescence in these regions shows that permeabilization occurs also there. All panels are drawn to scale, with the bar corresponding to 5 μm. Additional abbreviation: E, electric field. Figure adapted from Reference 99 with permission.
Table 1  Stages of electropermeabilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Initiation:**  | Membrane electrical conductivity and permeability start increasing detectably when transmembrane voltage (TMV) exceeds a “critical” value. | Nanoseconds (conductivity)  
Microseconds (permeability) | 52, 73, 74, 152 |
| **Expansion:**   | As long as TMV remains above the “critical” value, conductivity and permeability persist and/or intensify. | Until the end of the pulse (up to milliseconds) | 73, 74, 141, 152 |
| **Partial recovery:** | After TMV drops below the “critical” value, membrane conductivity and permeability decrease rapidly but not fully, stabilizing at a detectably increased level and still allowing transmembrane diffusion of ions and molecules. | Microseconds (conductivity)  
Milliseconds (permeability) | 73, 150, 152 |
| **Resealing:**   | The membrane gradually recovers its physiological level of impermeability (unless damage was irreversible and cell loses viability). | Seconds to minutes  
(\(~20-37^\circ C\)  
Hours (~4°C) | 115, 134, 152,  
165, 175 |
| **Memory:**      | Even after full membrane resealing, the cell can exhibit alterations in its physiological processes and reactions to stressors before finally returning fully to its normal state. | Hours | 54, 162 |

the transport, with longer (approximately milliseconds) pulses generally required for sufficient electrophoretic drag on DNA to enable DNA–membrane interaction (124, 165, 211). The subsequent DNA uptake is a much slower process, involving endocytotic uptake into the cytosol and intracellular trafficking to the nucleus (67, 166). A much more detailed treatise on the molecule-dependent specifics of electropermeabilization-mediated transport is provided in section II.C of Reference 157.

2.3. Submicrosecond Pulses and Intracellular Effects

Both theoretical analysis and potentiometric measurements show that at physiological salt concentration, the process of TMV inducement by rectangular pulses, mainly due to the charging of the membrane that is acting as a capacitor, is completed within several microseconds (73, 74, 98). For pulses exceeding this duration, the TMV on the cell plasma membrane—if insufficient for electropermeabilization—stabilizes at a plateau level that persists until the end of the pulse, and subsequently its induced component decays exponentially, again within microseconds.

In contrast, for submicrosecond electric pulses, the TMV does not reach this plateau, as the end of the pulse and the resulting exponential decay of the induced TMV precede it. As a result, with further shortening of the pulses from the submicrosecond to the nanosecond range, the amplitude of the TMV induced on the plasma membrane is increasingly attenuated (96, 98) and gradually becomes comparable to the TMV induced on organelle membranes in the cell interior (97). This could explain why very short (tens or hundreds of nanoseconds) yet very strong pulses (millions of volts per meter, which is ten- to 100-fold higher than the amplitudes sufficient for electropermeabilization with pulse durations in the microsecond to millisecond range), some experiments imply pronounced permeabilizing effects also on the organelle membranes (7, 27, 172, 194). In mitochondria, their particularly high resting TMV to which the induced TMV on the mitochondrial membrane superimposes could also contribute to their selective electropermeabilization and to the observation that these organelles appear to be particularly affected by submicrosecond pulses (9).
2.4. Continuum Models

The earliest proposed models of electrophoration treated the membrane as a thin layer of dielectric liquid, which the electric field charges and thus exerts a pressure on, with membrane breakdown occurring at the pressure level that can no longer be equilibrated by the opposing pressure owing to the layer's surface tension (122), elasticity (35), or both of them combined with viscosity (44). In each of these models, the breakdown is a strictly threshold event, occurring at an exact level of membrane charging—and thus of TMV—where the equations describing the membrane at the pressure equilibrium cease to have a finite real-number solution. This addresses the empirical finding that permeabilization is detectable only at sufficient TMV, and at least in the models combining surface tension with elasticity, the breakdown TMV is on the realistic order of magnitude, in hundreds of millivolts (207). Still, none of these models provide a sensible description of the membrane once it breaks down; the singularity in its mathematical description there corresponds to one or more of the physical membrane-characterizing parameters assuming an infinite or zero value, while electropermeabilization is clearly not a true breakdown (e.g., tearing of an overstretched wire) but is generally a limited and often reversible process.

These shortcomings were largely addressed by modeling electropermeabilization as electrophoration—electrically induced formation of aqueous pores in the lipid bilayer. Similarly to the breakdown models described above, the mathematical description of TMV-dependent pore formation disregards the molecular structure of the lipid bilayer and properties of its individual lipids, yet the physical depiction (Figure 1a) of pore initiation, expansion, stabilization, and closure relies decisively on them and moreover offers a plausible description of the membrane also in its permeabilized state. The foundations to this approach were laid in 1975 with the first model of spontaneous hydrophilic pore formation (114), extended in 1979 to account also for TMV (1), and matured in 1988 into the standard model of electrophoration that describes the change to the free energy $\Delta W$ of the membrane caused by formation of a pore of radius $r$ in this membrane, at a TMV of magnitude $U$, as (64)

$$
\Delta W(r, U) = \begin{cases} 
\Delta W_o(r, U) = 2\pi dr \Gamma_o \frac{I_1(\gamma/r)}{I_0(\gamma/r)} - \frac{\mu(\gamma/r)}{2d} U^2; & r < r_{\text{min}}, \\
\Delta W_i(r, U) = 2\pi \gamma(r) - \Gamma_i \pi r^2 - \frac{\mu(\gamma/r)}{2d} U^2; & r > r_{\text{min}},
\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta W_o$ and $\Delta W_i$ are $\Delta W$ for the hydrophobic and hydrophilic state, respectively, of the pore; $I_0$ is the modified Bessel function of 0th order; $\gamma(r)$ is a function tending to $+\infty$ as $r \to 0$ so that $2\gamma(r)\pi r$ dominates $\Delta W_i$ for $r$ in the subnanometer range and approaching the standardly measured value of edge tension $\gamma_o$ as $r$ increases beyond that range; $r_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum radius of a hydrophilic pore (i.e., the pore radius at which $\Delta W_i = \Delta W_o$); and the other parameters are as listed in Table 2, which also provides their typical values. Applying these values and assuming an empirically reasonable (132)

$$
\gamma(r) = \gamma_o(1 + C/r^5), \text{ with } C = 1.39 \times 10^{-46} \text{ J/m}^8
$$

allows one to plot the curve $\Delta W(r)$ for any fixed $U$; Figure 3 shows these curves for $U = 0$ mV, 150 mV, 300 mV, and 450 mV. The first minimum at $r = 0$ addresses the tendency of pores to close; the first maximum at $r \sim 0.5$ nm addresses the limitedness of spontaneous pore formation, while the second minimum at $r \sim 0.8$ nm and the second maximum at its right (both for TMV $< \sim 450$ mV) address the (meta)stability of hydrophilic pores, which exist until passing either the first (pore closure) or the second maximum (irreversible breakdown). Both maxima decrease with increasing TMV, meaning that higher TMV increases the rate of pore formation, facilitates pore expansion, and increases the probability of irreversible breakdown of a bilayer. Yet an increase of
Table 2  Typical values of the parameters in electroporation models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Explanation and/or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$37^\circ C = 310 K$</td>
<td>Average physiological value for cells in mammals (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Thickness</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-9}$ m</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic length of hydrophobic interactions</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$\sim 1 \times 10^{-9}$ m</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophobic surface tension (lipid tails/water)</td>
<td>$\Gamma_o$</td>
<td>$\sim 5 \times 10^{-2}$ J/m$^2$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophilic surface tension (lipid heads/water)</td>
<td>$\Gamma_i$</td>
<td>$\sim 1 \times 10^{-3}$ J/m$^2$</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge tension</td>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>$\sim 2 \times 10^{-11}$ J/m</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>$\sigma_m$</td>
<td>$\sim 3 \times 10^{-2}$ S/m</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permittivity</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_m$</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-11}$ F/m</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular medium Conductivity</td>
<td>$\sigma_e$</td>
<td>1.2 S/m</td>
<td>Blood serum at $T = 37^\circ C$ (186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permittivity</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_e$</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-10}$ F/m</td>
<td>Physiological saline at $T = 37^\circ C$ (135)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tilde symbol (\~) indicates values known only to the order of magnitude.

Abbreviations: F, farad; S, siemens.

TMV from 150 to 450 mV reduces the first maximum only by $\sim 5\%$ but the second maximum by $\sim 83\%$, implying that facilitation of pore formation is rather weak, while facilitation of pore transition into an irreversible breakdown is stronger. Still, irreversible breakdown can be avoided even if TMV exceeds 450 mV, provided that the applied electric pulse is short enough and the TMV subsequently returns to 0 V before any of the pores have the time to expand beyond $\sim 20$ nm.

Figure 3
The change in the free energy of a pore in a lipid bilayer, according to the standard model as given by Equations 1 and 2, with parameter values as in Table 2 at transmembrane voltage of 0 mV (solid line), 150 mV (long-dashed line), 300 mV (short-dashed line), and 450 mV (dotted line). Panel a shows the curves for radii up to 22 nm, and panel b is the zoom on the subregion outlined by the red rectangle in panel a and containing the first maximum of the curves.

Kotnik et al.
The fate of the membrane is therefore determined by the dynamic changes of the population of pores $n(r, t)$ in the membrane, described by

$$\frac{\partial n(r, t)}{\partial t} = D_p \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial n(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{n(r, t)}{kT} \frac{\partial \Delta W(r, U)}{\partial r} \right),$$

where $D_p$ is the diffusion coefficient of pores in the pore radius space, $k$ the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ the absolute temperature. In general, the population of pores can vary considerably for different parameters of electric pulses (6, 104, 178), and thus, numerical solution of Equation 3 needs to be obtained for each specific set of pulse parameters considered. But overall, these solutions predict that at amplitudes resulting mostly in reversible electroporation (see Section 2.3), submicrosecond pulses induce a large number (millions per cell) of small pores ($r \sim 1$ nm)—the effect sometimes termed supra-electroporation, whereas longer pulses result in a much smaller number (up to tens of thousands per cell) of pores yet with radii up to tens of nanometers (178).

Throughout the years, the quantitative description of electroporation as presented in Equations 1–3 has been subject to various modifications by different authors, as to account for the fact that a pore affects not only the capacitive but also the conductive energy of the membrane (6, 51, 104) for the difference between extracellular and cytoplasmic osmolarity (207), the effect of the membrane curvature (133), dynamic changes in the membrane surface tension caused by electroporation (176), nonlinear membrane elasticity (42), etc. Each of these proposed enhancements improved some aspects of the quantitative and/or qualitative compatibility of model predictions with experimental findings but largely at the cost of introducing a number of parameters that can—at least to date—only be evaluated by numerical fitting such as polynomial regression, and some even lack clear physical meaning. With sufficient parameter optimization, these models do yield reasonable estimates for the dynamic changes in membrane conductance during the pulse (6, 38, 51, 205) and the extent of electroporation-mediated transport (111), both resulting from the distributions of pore size and their density in the membrane, but in general, only partial quantitative agreement between model predictions and experiments is obtained. Moreover, all continuum models and analyses outlined above treat the shape of the pores as cylindrical or toroidal, which is clearly an idealization when structures and events are considered at the molecular level. Another idealization in the continuum description is its assumption that water retains its bulk properties in arbitrarily small pores; as water molecules have a van der Waals diameter of 0.28 nm, for pore sizes descending into the subnanometer range, this assumption is increasingly unrealistic. Thus, from the late 1990s, awareness was gradually emerging that further progress in understanding of electroporation will require a more realistic analysis of pore initiation, expansion, stabilization, and closure at the atomistic and molecular level, while simultaneously, computational power was gradually becoming sufficient for such analysis, to which we turn in Section 3.

Complementary molecular-level explanations of electropermeabilization have also been proposed: electrically induced phase transition of lipid molecules (184), tearing along lipid domain interfaces (36), or lipid peroxidation (11, 53, 117, 118). In a similar vein, electrically induced denaturation of membrane proteins was proposed as a companion mechanism, enhancing permeabilization in cell membranes compared to pure lipid bilayers and vesicles (200, 201). In principle, each of these models addresses the reversibility of permeabilization: phase transitions are reversible and interdomain fractures can reseal, while peroxidized lipids and denatured proteins in the membrane are gradually repaired or replaced by intact ones. Still, only electrically induced lipid peroxidation and protein denaturation have empirical support, and we revisit them in Sections 4 and 5, respectively, where we focus on chemical modification and functional modulation of membrane molecules as contributors to electropermeabilization.
3. MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF LIPID BILAYER ELECTROPORATION

With sizes in nanometers, the pores formed in the lipid bilayer by electroporation are too small to be observable by optical microscopy, and as they are also, at most, metastable, they are too fragile to withstand the sample preparation required for electron microscopy of soft matter (vacuumization, cryofixation, or fixation by osmium tetroxide, metallic coating for scanning microscopy). Thus, an early report of volcano-shaped electropores tens of nanometers in size visualized by rapid-freezing electron microscopy (26) was later shown to be an artifact caused by sample preparation (182, 189). Visualizing the dynamics of pore formation is even more daunting, and while total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy was recently used to track ionic flux through individual pores (174), the pores were induced by pulses far longer (180 s) than any used in electroporation and recorded with temporal resolution far too slow (16 ms) to track the kinetics of pore initiation and with spatial resolution too low to discern the details of pore structure.

In contrast, MD simulations, to which we turn next, have over the last two decades reached an adequate level of both computing power and methodology proficiency to provide a corroboration of electroporation in silico. In the absence of the electric field, the rate of pore formation is generally too slow to be observable in such simulations, which typically cover a submicrosecond time span; but in sufficiently strong electric fields, the rate of pore formation increases dramatically, and pore initiation is well discernible on a nanosecond timescale.

3.1. Molecular Dynamics Modeling of Exposure to Electric Pulses

When a lipid bilayer is exposed to an electric field, the TMV induced by this exposure consists of two components: one (dielectric response) resulting from reorientation of dipoles (lipid headgroups and adjacent water molecules), on which the electric field acts as to align them, and another resulting from redistribution of charges (ions in the surrounding solutions), which the electric field drives as to accumulate them on both sides of the bilayer and thus charge it as a capacitor. The first TMV component is induced within picoseconds (188, 195, 196), while the second component is much slower, only reaching its plateau within microseconds, yet at physiological ion concentrations, it is about two orders of magnitude larger; thus, for pulses much shorter than \( \sim 1 \mu s \) the first component is dominant, while for pulses far longer, the second component prevails (97, 98).

As a consequence, MD simulations of electroporation model the buildup of TMV induced by exposing a lipid bilayer to an electric pulse depending on the pulse duration. For submicrosecond pulses, the TMV is typically generated by imposing across the bilayer an electric field \( E \), which in practice amounts to imposing on every particle that possesses a charge \( q_i \) a force equal to the product \( E \cdot q_i \) (68, 168, 188, 195, 196). For pulses lasting micro- or milliseconds, the TMV is usually modeled by imposing a net difference of charges on both sides of the bilayer, achieved in practice by relocating a required number of individual ions across the bilayer (40, 41, 70).

In both methods, the currently achievable computing power and memory capacity are far too low to model whole membranes consisting of billions of lipids (furthermore surrounded by trillions of water molecules and billions of dissolved ions). This is addressed by forming a correspondingly smaller unit simulation cell, typically rectangular and consisting of hundreds to thousands of lipids and imposing onto it a suitable set of ensemble conditions and boundary conditions, elaborated in detail in two specialized recent reviews (23, 90). The size of the simulation cell needs to be selected carefully, since too small a simulation cell can affect the size of the pore induced in the bilayer (22).
3.2. Aqueous Pores: Main Characteristics

With sufficiently high TMV, when modeled by either imposing an electric field or a charge imbalance, MD simulations qualitatively largely agree—both among themselves and with empirically determined stages of electropermeabilization-mediated transmembrane transport (see Table 1)—in their general description of TMV-mediated pore initiation and expansion, followed by pore closure as the TMV returns to 0 (i.e., the resting potential in simulations under symmetric salt concentrations) (108, 109, 188), as summarized in Figure 4. In these simulations, pore formation is initiated by small so-called water fingers protruding, on both sides of the membrane, from the headgroup/glycerol region. Molecular-scale analysis of the water-driven process of pore formation has shown that water molecules initially restrained to the hydrophilic interfacial region tend to orient their dipoles along the local electric field created by the TMV and form small clusters through intermolecular hydrogen bonds, extending increasingly into the hydrophobic core of the bilayer, and finally merging to form a hydrophobic pore (also termed water wire or water column) spanning across the bilayer (41, 75, 198). Subsequently, the lipids adjacent to the water molecules inside the pore start reorienting with their polar headgroups toward these water molecules, thus stabilizing the pore into its hydrophilic state and allowing more water, as well as other polar molecules and ions, to enter. This transition from a hydrophobic to a hydrophilic pore

Figure 4
The life cycle of an electrically induced pore in the lipid bilayer. Stages of pore formation and closure are displayed in their order of appearance but disregarding the differences in their characteristic timescales. Formation begins with the onset of the electric field, and closure begins as the field ceases. For clarity, only water molecules and phosphorus atoms from the lipid headgroups are shown. Figure adapted from Reference 109 with permission.
structure, which was already hypothesized in the earliest electroporation models (1), can be observed in most phospholipid bilayers. However, in some bilayers (e.g., those from phosphatidylserine lipids with negatively charged headgroups or archaenal lipids with headgroups containing large sugar moieties), only hydrophobic pores were reported, which were nonetheless large enough to conduct ions (39, 146).

Once the electric field ceases, pore closure follows a reverse sequence of analogous events (Figure 4). Unlike pore initiation time, which decreases exponentially with increasing electric field and TMV, pore closure time is practically independent of the field by which the pore was induced (108). Pore closure is completed within tens to hundreds of nanoseconds (10, 108, 188), indicating that pores are unstable if TMV is absent or very low and only become (meta)stable for TMV of several hundred millivolts (22, 49), in agreement with measurements on model lipid bilayers (120, 174). The pore closure time in MD simulations is, however, about nine orders of magnitude shorter than typical experimentally determined membrane resealing times (see Table 1). This suggests either that the pores in cell membranes are more complex than lipidic pores studied in MD (208) or that, in addition to electroporation, electropermeabilization of cell membranes may involve other mechanisms, which we discuss in Sections 4 and 5.

3.3. Role of Bilayer Composition in Electroporation Thresholds

Pore formation is not strictly a threshold phenomenon (pore initiation time decreases with increasing electric field and TMV); nevertheless, we can define a threshold electric field and TMV in which electroporation is observed in a given amount of time. MD provided a molecular basis for the experimentally observed difference in electroporation thresholds in bilayers with different composition (102, 204). Since the pioneering simulations (188, 195, 196), which considered single-component zwitterionic lipid bilayers, a variety of lipid bilayer compositions have been modeled to characterize the key elements that might modulate their electroporation thresholds. The increase of the electroporation threshold upon addition of cholesterol, often linked to the increase of the stiffness of the bilayer, was studied (21, 47, 81, 92). For pulses in the submicrosecond duration range, a doubling of the electric field strength was necessary for electroporation of bilayers with 50 mol% phospholipids replaced by cholesterol (47), while for pulses with durations in the microsecond to millisecond range, the threshold was shown to level off above 30 mol% cholesterol (21). An interesting aspect emerged from modeling bilayer patches comprising liquid ordered and liquid disordered domains (156): Pore formation appeared to occur in the disordered phase without affecting the boundaries between the two phases. This behavior has been confirmed using optical recording that allows tracking of multiple isolated electropores in real time in planar droplet interface bilayers (174).

The effects of ester and ether linkages of branched (phytanoyl) tails and of bulky (glucosyl-myo and myo-inositol) lipid headgroups on the electroporation threshold were also investigated (145, 146). It was shown that the threshold for a lipid bilayer depends not only on its capacitance and dipole potential but also on the nature of lipids’ hydrophobic tails. Furthermore, there is a correlation between the lateral pressure within the lipid core and the electroporation threshold, and an increase of this pressure in branched lipid membranes compared with acyl chain lipid bilayers hinders the local diffusion of water molecules of the nascent water fingers toward the interior of the hydrophobic core. Consequently, the probability of pore formation is lowered, increasing the electric field required to permeabilize the bilayer. It was also shown that oxidative damage to the cell plasma membrane (i.e., the presence of oxidized lipids) enhances the susceptibility of the membrane to electroporation, as such lipids are more permeable to water (206).
Comparing specifically archaeal lipids (glucosyl-myoinositol headgroups) to normal phosphatidylcholine (PC) lipids, the higher electroporation thresholds for the former were attributed (145, 146) to the strong hydrogen-bonding network that stabilizes the headgroup–headgroup interactions. In another study, the higher electroporation thresholds for phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) bilayers compared to PC bilayers (69) were linked to interlipid hydrogen bonding taking place in the PE bilayer that leads to a more densely packed water/lipid interface. Considering asymmetric bilayers composed of PC and PE lipid leaflets, the same authors observed that the pore initiation (i.e., the water column formation) is also asymmetric, with first steps taking place primarily in the PC leaflet. Studying more complex composition membranes, it was found that the membrane of the Gram-positive bacterium *Staphylococcus aureus* is less resistant to poration than the outer membrane of the Gram-negative bacterium *Escherichia coli*, with the higher electroporation threshold of the latter reflecting the reduced mobility of the lipopolysaccharide molecules located in its membrane’s outer monolayer (144).

Additional factors, such as the presence of chemical agents and compounds, modify the electroporation threshold of membrane models by affecting their stability. Owing to the unusual abundance of the tryptophan amino acid in membrane proteins existing inside the membrane near the membrane/water interface, it is widely accepted that these amino acids play an important role as membrane protein anchors (43). Modeling the effect of electroporation on bilayers embedding membrane proteins, it was shown that a cyclic peptide nanotube stabilizes the bilayer in its proximity by forming strong hydrogen bonds between its tryptophan residues and the neighboring lipid headgroups, preventing pore formation in the vicinity of the channel (188). The ability of surfactants [e.g., the polyoxyethylene glycol (C12E8)] to lower the electroporation threshold was linked to the high mobility of such compounds and their hydrophilic moiety that affect the intrinsic properties of the host bilayer, facilitating water intrusion (147). Another MD study reported that the addition of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) halves the minimum electric field required to electroporate both pure lipid and cholesterol rich bilayers (48). The authors suggested it is due to a synergy of three cofactors: (a) penetration of DMSO into the hydrophobic region in the lipid/water interface that decreases the lateral pressure, thus facilitating intrusion of water into the membrane; (b) alteration of the electrostatic membrane potential; and (c) release of the surface tension when the hydrophobic water pore is formed.

### 3.4. Transport of Solutes Across Aqueous Pores

Pores created in lipid bilayers by electric fields are highly dynamic, with size and stability strongly dependent on the TMV (22, 49, 75, 174, 187). While at present there are no experimental techniques allowing direct visualization of pores, several attempts have been made to measure their radii by monitoring the selective uptake of molecules of different sizes (e.g., propidium iodide, YO-PRO-1, bleomycin, trypan blue, PEG, sugars, and dextrans) particularly in cells, but the reliability of this approach remains questionable, as such probes can strongly interact with the lipid bilayer and perturb the pore configuration while diffusing (88, 170, 179). Moreover, the transport of molecules across cell membranes generally needs to be monitored for seconds or minutes after applying pulses, while the molecular mechanisms relating to cell membranes’ permeability in such postpulse resealing steps are yet to be elucidated (106, 180, 209).

Conductance measurements might in this context provide a more sensitive and less perturbing method to characterize pores forming in the lipid bilayer. Yet, macroscopic currents in cells subject to electric fields generally report conductance through a population of many pores as well as through a variety of ion channels (214, 217). Under imposition of a constant electric current,
... conductance of single pores can be monitored as well (93, 101, 103). However, accurate characterization of the pore properties from conductance measurements requires the use of a valid and reliable theoretical model, which can quantitatively predict the pore conductance. Typically, more or less simplified expressions derived from the coupled Poisson and Nernst–Planck’s (PNP) equations (5, 83, 110) are used to estimate pore sizes (85, 93, 100, 101, 103). A recent review (158) of estimates from various experimental studies shows that pore sizes and conductances typically fall into the nanometer and nanosiemens (nS) ranges, respectively.

MD provides a unique method to study the transport of ions and molecules through aqueous pores in relation to the pore structure and geometry. MD simulations of simple lipid bilayers show that pore conductance depends on the pore size and the type of ions passing through the pore (22, 70, 75, 107). In bilayers subject to TMV ranging from ~400 to ~650 mV, hydrophilic pores with stable radii (1–2.5 nm) form and allow for ionic conductance in the range of 6.4 to 29.5 nS, with pores being more conductive to Cl− than Na+ ions (22). These results could be described quantitatively with an improved continuum model based on the PNP equations, provided that the model accounted for the binding of Na+ ions to lipid headgroups and the electroosmotic flow induced through the pore (158).

Although a wide range of electroporation-based applications aim to transport molecules (e.g., dyes, drugs, and genetic material) across permeabilized cell membranes, little is known about the molecular mechanisms and timescale involved in these processes. Even information gathered from MD simulations to investigate such processes is scarce. Only a handful of simulations were performed to model the transport of large molecules (18, 23, 170, 188). Two such molecules, the double-stranded siRNA and Tat11, were recently investigated (23) to compare their mechanism of electric-field-mediated transport with pulse durations in the microsecond to millisecond range to those in the nanosecond range (18, 170). The electrically driven uptake of a small charged molecule such as Tat11 through an electroporated lipid bilayer occurs in tens of nanoseconds in both cases (170) and does not involve interaction with the pore. Interestingly, the simulations show that subject to either pulse type, the translocation of siRNA through lipid pores takes place in the tens of nanoseconds timescale as well. In contrast to Tat11, siRNA remains though anchored to the lipid headgroups after translocation without diffusing in the bulk solution even if the voltage is maintained.

The timescales indicated by such studies might seem puzzling, as they are orders of magnitude faster than those often reported from experimental investigations in cells, for instance. It is important, however, to note that MD studies provide only a microscopic description of the transport across the lipid bilayer component of cell membranes, while transfer, in particular of large molecules such as DNA plasmids, necessarily implies interactions with other components such as the cytoskeleton and might be modulated by more complex biological cell trafficking mechanisms (166, 167, 173).

4. MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF LIPID BILAYER ELECTROPERMEABILIZATION

4.1. Experimental Evidence of Electric-Field-Mediated Lipid Peroxidation

Regarding the effects of chemical nature, it was reported over two decades ago that the composition and properties of both pure lipid bilayers and cell membranes can be altered by exposure to traditional electric pulses used in the electroporation technologies and treatments as a result of oxidation of their lipid constituents (11, 53, 117, 118). The fact that such exposure can cause lipid...
Peroxidation has been confirmed in bacteria (216, 218), plant cells (15, 117), and mammalian cells (11, 117, 118), as well as in liposomes made from polyunsaturated lipids (11, 19, 118, 219).

Studies with microsecond and millisecond pulses demonstrated that electric pulses induce generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative damage of unsaturated lipids, in both model and cell membranes, as confirmed by measuring the concentration of conjugated dienes, malondialdehyde (11), and hydrogen peroxide (117, 118) by using chemiluminescent probe lucigenin to detect superoxide anion radicals (53) and by analyzing the photooxidation reaction of 5-(N-hexadecanoyl)-aminofluorescein incorporated into the cell membrane (55). Results demonstrated that ROS concentration and extent of lipid peroxidation increase with electric field intensity (11, 53, 55, 117, 118), pulse duration, and number of pulses (53) and are correlated with cell membrane permeability (53, 117, 118), membrane resealing time (53), and cell damage (11, 53). Enhanced ROS generation was confirmed in submicrosecond pulse exposure as well (140).

4.2. Mechanisms of Electric-Field-Mediated Lipid Peroxidation

Lipid peroxidation typically affects unsaturated lipids bearing allylic or bis-allylic sites and takes place through a reaction chain mechanism. ROS, either generated from endogenous sources (mitochondria, plasma membrane, endoplasmic reticulum, or peroxisomes) or produced as a result of exogenous stimuli (ionizing radiation or tobacco smoke, for instance) through O₂ reduction, are among the radical species that can act as initiators of such a mechanism (2, 125, 202). Among them, the hydroxyl radical (HO•), the superoxide radical anion (O₂⁻), and the hydroperoxyl radical (HOO•) are short lived and highly reactive and, therefore, are supposed to play a prominent role in cell membranes’ lipid peroxidation (123). The interactions between ROS and phospholipid membranes have been studied using spin traps and fluorescent probes (16, 50, 59, 177). Classical MD simulations (33) indicate that, unlike O₂⁻, both HO• and HOO• can reach peroxidation sites located along the unsaturated lipid hydrophobic chains.

It was shown that electric fields do not themselves create radicals in solution (18), in agreement with predictions from state-of-the-art quantum calculations (169), yet under electric field intensities characteristic of electroporation, electric pulses can initiate ROS production inside cells (53, 55, 140). This is consistent with recent reports that imply that submicrosecond pulses may damage cell mitochondria (9, 160). While until recently, the common view was that this radical production is sufficient to enhance the lipid membranes’ peroxidation, recent experiments on giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) tend to show that lipid peroxidation can be promoted by ROS already present in the solution before the delivery of electric pulses (18). To date, there are yet no studies explaining the mechanisms involved behind such a behavior, and what minimal electric field is required to trigger this effect is not clear either.

4.3. Stability and Permeability of Peroxidized Bilayers

Hydroperoxides (i.e., the primary lipid peroxidation products) are stable enough to persist and diffuse in lipid bilayers. It was recently shown (159) that the permeability and conductance of lipid bilayers to ions increase by several orders of magnitude with increasing content of peroxidized lipids. Hydroperoxide lipid derivatives are, however, also prone to secondary degradation, resulting in various products with truncated lipid tails ending with either an aldehyde or carboxylic group (80). Fluorescence, electron paramagnetic resonance, and MD studies indicate that the presence of oxidized lipids decreases the lipid order, lowers the phase transition temperature, leads to lateral expansion and thinning of the bilayer, increases lipid mobility and augments flip-flop, influences lateral phase organization, promotes formation of water defects, and under extreme conditions
leads to disintegration of the bilayer (79, 80, 212). Oxidized lipids are by far more permeable than their nonoxidized counterparts and are prone to spontaneous pore formation. The presence of oxidized lipids with an aldehyde group disturbs the bilayer more than the presence of ones with a peroxide group does (17) and enhances the membrane susceptibility to electric-field-mediated pore formation (206). Of particular note, membranes with significant aldehyde group content can ultimately undergo spontaneous pore formation (17, 37, 113, 203), a scenario not present in lipids containing the peroxide group (17, 203).

4.4. Functional Consequences of Oxidatively Damaged Membranes

The contribution of lipid peroxidation to the permeability of electropermeabilized cell membranes has not yet been quantitatively assessed. This is a challenging task that requires characterization of the type and amount of lipid oxidation products in electropermeabilized cell membranes, as well as the quantification of the permeability of the peroxidized parts of the membrane. A recent study (159) estimated the permeability and conductance of bilayer patches containing hydroperoxide lipid derivatives and compared them to experimental measurements on electropermeabilized cells. Their analysis indicates that the permeability and conductance of hydroperoxide lipid derivatives are sufficient to account for the lowest measured values but not high enough to reasonably explain the entire range of experimental measurements. However, oxidatively damaged membrane lesions that contain secondary lipid oxidative products could, as stated above, exhibit spontaneous pore formation and might relate to higher values of postpulse permeability and conductance as measured in electropermeabilized cell membranes, but further modeling studies are required to quantify such a permeability.

5. FROM SIMPLE LIPID BILAYERS TO THE COMPLEX STRUCTURE OF THE CELL MEMBRANE

The main players in electropermeabilization are considered to be membrane lipids. Thus, a large part of the understanding of basic electropermeabilization mechanisms has been gained through experiments on model lipid systems, including planar lipid bilayers (1, 12, 13) and lipid vesicles (84, 129, 148, 161, 192). Particularly, GUVs, which most closely mimic the size and curvature of the cell membrane, have become popular models for studying electropermeabilization (45, 143, 149, 161). However, the response of a GUV to permeabilizing electric pulses differs markedly from that of a cell; specifically, the Maxwell stress induces large electrodeformation of the GUV membrane, which can be accompanied by creation of micrometer-sized pores (macropores) and expulsion of lipids from the GUV (148, 161). These differences in response show that GUVs are oversimplified cell models. Indeed, evidence is building that membrane proteins and the cytoskeleton network contribute importantly to cell membrane electropermeabilization, as we review in Sections 5.1 and 5.2, respectively.

5.1. Effects of the Electric Field on Membrane Proteins

The first report that membrane electropermeabilization can be partly attributed to the effect on membrane proteins dates to 1980, when it was observed that in low-conductivity media, exposure of erythrocytes to pulses inducing lipid bilayer electropermeabilization also increased the electric conductivity of transmembrane Na+/K+-ATPases, albeit this effect was not detectable at physiological levels of medium conductivity (191). Ten years later, this was formulated into a coherent hypothesis of denaturation of transmembrane transport proteins owing to TMV-driven
supraphysiological current passing through them during the exposure to electric pulses and the resulting local heating (200, 201). It was also estimated that electropermeabilization-inducing pulses can generate sufficient heating for denaturation and that subsequent excision of denatured proteins from the membrane—and thus recovery of its impermeable state—requires minutes to tens of minutes (200, 201).

Experimental progress in this field required utilization of advanced patch-clamp techniques, which confirmed that electropermeabilization-inducing pulses, particularly with submicrosecond durations and correspondingly high amplitudes, can affect the conductivity of transmembrane protein structures, including $K^+$ channels (28) and voltage-gated $Ca^{2+}$ and $Na^+$ channels (20, 29, 130, 131, 138, 214). Still, while a potentiating effect was observed for some structures and pulse parameters, resulting in an increased and/or prolonged transmembrane conductivity of these structures, for other structures, pulse parameters, and/or experimental conditions, an inhibiting effect was found, resulting in a decreased conductivity (29, 130, 131, 191). Furthermore, the effect on $Ca^{2+}$ channels was observed to be direction dependent, with differing conductivities for inward and outward flow of $Ca^{2+}$ ions (214). The effect of electropermeabilization-inducing pulses on voltage-gated $Ca^{2+}$ channels was also observed by fluorescence microscopy (34), while confocal Raman microspectroscopy was recently used to demonstrate accompanying changes in vibrational modes of specific amino acids in cellular proteins (3, 4), albeit this method did not allow differentiating between signals from membrane-bound and cytoplasmic proteins. As a complement to these experimental studies, an MD simulation has been utilized to study the effects of electropermeabilization-inducing pulses on transmembrane water channels (aquaporins), finding a significant effect on water self-diffusion during and immediately after the pulses (155).

5.2. Effects of the Electric Field on Cytoskeleton Components

The earliest indications that the integrity of the cytoskeleton and the intensity of electropermeabilization are related were reported in 1992 (164), but this study and two subsequent ones focused on the effect of cytoskeleton modification—achieved either chemically (127, 164) or physically (128)—on subsequent electropermeabilization, finding that both its extent and duration are affected by such modification. The investigators started to focus on the effect of electropermeabilization itself on cytoskeleton integrity only a decade later, revealing that both F-actin and $\beta$-tubulin proteins in the cytoskeleton are disrupted by electropermeabilization-inducing pulses and that the cytoskeleton recovery becomes detectable within hours (87, 121). Soon after, such cytoskeleton disruption was analyzed by atomic force microscopy, which revealed up to a 40% decrease in membrane stiffness (31), accompanied by membrane rippling, cell swelling, and destabilization of F-actin in the cell cortex underlying the plasma membrane followed by cytoskeleton recovery within hours (30, 31). The second of these studies also suggested that the main effect of electric pulses on cortical actin is not its depolymerization but rather its impaired attachment to the membrane (30), which was already reported earlier for much longer exposures of cells to direct electric fields far too weak to induce electropermeabilization (197).

Yet a crucial question that remained open was whether the cytoskeleton integrity is disrupted directly by the electric pulses or indirectly owing to the resulting electropermeabilization. Namely, electropermeabilization results in ATP leakage (163) and thus depletes the intracellular ATP crucial for sustaining actin polymerization (91), but as described above, some experiments suggest that depolymerization of cortical actin is, at most, of secondary importance compared to the cortex detachment from the membrane (30). Furthermore, electropermeabilization often results in cell swelling due to osmotic and/or ionic imbalances (201), and such swelling can disrupt the cytoskeleton (72), yet conversely, cytoskeleton disruption can also lead to cell swelling (142). The situation is even more complex with submicrosecond pulses, which were also shown to induce
Figure 5
Cell swelling and actin cytoskeleton disruption caused by electropermeabilization of Chinese hamster ovary cells with four 600-ns, 19.2-kV/cm pulses delivered with a 0.5-s period. (Top) In physiological saline, swelling is pronounced, as is loss of actin structure. (Middle) In physiological saline supplemented with ribitol, swelling is limited, but loss of actin structure is still clearly visible. (Bottom) In physiological saline supplemented with sucrose, swelling is blocked and actin structure remains intact, implying that disruption of actin cytoskeleton is a downstream effect of cell swelling, which is in turn the effect of electropermeabilization. In the side views and 3D views, the grid size is 5 × 5 μm, while in the x-y slices, the bar corresponds to 10 μm. Figure adapted from Reference 139 with permission.

both cell swelling and cytoskeleton disruption (183, 213), but as such pulses can also permeabilize intracellular organelles (8), the effect on the cytoskeleton could also result from release of intracellular enzymes (e.g., caspases) and ions (particularly Ca^{2+}) into the cytosol. In 2014, it was shown rather conclusively that—at least with the electropermeabilization protocol applied, namely four 600-ns 19.2-kV/cm pulses delivered with a 0.5-s period—disruption of the actin cytoskeleton is a result of cell swelling and not vice versa (139); the decisive experiment is summarized in Figure 5. Still, at least three studies performed with different pulse parameters suggested actin disruption can occur also without cell swelling, with two reporting this with even shorter and more intense (10 ns, 33 kV/cm) pulses (14, 76) and one with much longer and weaker (100 μs, up to 200 V/cm) pulses (87). Thus, the question of whether electropermeabilization-inducing pulses can disrupt the cytoskeleton only indirectly or also directly is not yet generally settled in a conclusive manner.

6. REMAINING CHALLENGES
The mechanisms of electropermeabilization have been investigated for at least four decades, yet there are still open questions remaining to be answered. One of the main reasons why
understanding electropermeabilization is challenging is the wide range of length scales (from nanometers-thick membrane through micrometers-large cells up to centimeters-large tissue segments) and timescales involved (from nanoseconds to hours, as described in Table 1). Thus, investigation of electropermeabilization requires a multi-scale modeling approach, ranging from molecular simulations to large-scale continuum models of cells and tissues, closely coupled with systematic experiments. In recent years, such an approach has indeed resulted in significant progress, as outlined in this review.

Nevertheless, one of the remaining issues that many modelers experience is the lack of quantitative experimental data to which the modeling results can be compared. For instance, experimental measurements cannot directly discriminate between the molecular transport through pores and that through the oxidized parts of the membrane. Still, with today’s computational resources, one can quantify this transport via MD simulations, and by comparing it quantitatively to the measured transport, one can predict the number of pores or the area of oxidized lesions. These predictions can be further compared to predictions from continuum electroporation models to test the validity of the models and the hypotheses on which they are built. The importance of transport quantification, in terms of both the number of transported solutes into the cell and its time course, is increasingly being recognized (137, 179–180).

While there is a general consensus that the TMV induced by an electric field promotes formation of pores in the lipid bilayer, the contribution of other mechanisms to cell membrane electroporation, including oxidative membrane damage and conformational changes of membrane proteins, remains to be elucidated. The long-standing assumption that the pores formed during the pulse are also the main transport mechanism for seconds and minutes after the pulse (38, 64, 176, 178) is now questioned, as MD simulations show no evidence of pores retaining their (meta)stability once TMV vanishes or drops to a very low level. A plausible hypothesis is that these primary pores evolve into more complex pores involving both lipidic and other molecules, but the molecular organization of these putative complex pores is yet unknown (208). Another possibility is that the electric-field-mediated lipid oxidation results in spontaneous formation of pores in oxidized membrane lesions. Both imply that we may need to distinguish between at least two different types of pores; this has already been proposed before (134, 141), but a description of the underlying pore structure has yet to be provided. Still another possibility is that the long-lived permeability after the pulse does not involve pores at all but instead is mediated by leaky peroxidized membrane lesions and/or modified membrane proteins (189).

It also remains to be fully elucidated how the cell response to the electric stimulus contributes to electropermeabilization. There is experimental evidence suggesting that cell membrane repair mechanisms are involved in membrane resealing (32, 77). To separate the downstream effects of the cell response to the electric field exposure from direct effects of the electric field on its membrane, it is important to systematically study biomimetic systems. In addition, bottom-up studies on biomimetic systems such as GUVs could help determine the role of individual cell structures on electroporation. It has already been shown that the presence of agarose meshwork inside the GUV (emulating the highly viscous and crowded cytoplasm) can obstruct the created pores and keep the membrane highly permeable (112). Additional studies on GUVs with increasing complexity, such as incorporation of membrane proteins and cytoskeleton network, should further improve the understanding of these structures’ role in electropermeabilization.

Answering the above questions is a prerequisite for optimization of existing and development of new electroporation-based treatments, including cancer treatment by electrochemotherapy or irreversible electroporation (60, 61, 119, 171, 215), cardiac tissue ablation (185, 210), and DNA vaccination (105). Until now, excitable cells and tissues were not the focus of electroporation research but whether they respond to electropermeabilizing pulses similarly to nonexcitable cells,
either as target tissue or as collateral damage, is becoming increasingly important to understand. Either targeting or avoiding damage to nerves, brain, cardiac tissue (for defibrillation), and muscle (as a DNA vaccination target), to name a few applications, will require these answers.

Even in preparing this review, we were facing difficulties in summarizing the existing findings, as experimental detail is lacking in many reports, making comparison of results from different studies difficult if not impossible. To address this, it would be extremely important for further studies to follow recently published recommendations (25, 154)—in particular, evaluating the local electric field, often estimated as the voltage-to-distance ratio, despite diverse electrode geometries for many of which such estimation is an oversimplification.
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